

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

No. 2:24-cv-01465-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01468-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01474-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01480-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01545-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01546-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01547-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01550-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01551-JAM-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-01554-JAM-CSK

24 **ORDER**  
25  
26

27 Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the  
28 above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious

litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No. 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-01465, 2:24-cv-01468, 2:24-cv-01474, 2:24-cv-01480, 2:24-cv-01545, 2:24-cv-01546, 2:24-cv-01547, 2:24-cv-01550, 2:24-cv-1551 and 2:24-cv-01554 are **DISMISSED**; the Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** To close these cases. **No further filings will be accepted.**

Dated: June 11, 2024

/s/ John A. Mendez

---

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup> In the captions of 2:24-cv-01465 and 2:24-cv-01468, plaintiff names the Tulare County Superior Court. In the caption of 2:24-cv-01474, plaintiff names the Merced County Superior Court. In the caption 2:24-cv-01480, plaintiff names the Sierra County Superior Court. In the caption of 2:24-cv-01546, plaintiff names the Monterey County Superior Court. In the captions of 2:24-cv-01545, 2:24-cv-01547, 2:24-cv-01550, 2:24-cv-01551 and 2:24-cv-01554, plaintiff names the Inyo County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in these cases are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.